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Summary: We re-examine previously found cosmological solutions to eleven-dimensional supergravity in the light of the $E_{10}$-approach to M-theory. We focus on the solutions with non zero electric field determined by geometric configurations $\left(n_{m}, g_{3}\right), n \leq 10$. We show that these solutions are associated with rank $g$ regular subalgebras of $E_{10}$, the Dynkin diagrams of which are the (line) incidence diagrams of the geometric configurations. Our analysis provides as a byproduct an interesting class of rank-10 Coxeter subgroups of the Weyl group of $E_{10}$.

## TALK BASED ON :

- J. Demaret, J.-L. Hanquin, M. Henneaux, Ph. S.

Cosmological models in Eleven-dimensional Supergravity Nucl. Phus. B 252, 538 (1985)

- M. Henneaux, M. Leston, D. Persson, Ph. S.

Geometric Configurations, Regular Subalgebras of $E_{10}$ and M-Theory Cosmology JHEP 0610 (2006) 021 (hep-th/0606123)

- M. Henneaux, M. Leston, D. Persson, Ph. S.

A special Class of Rank 10 and 11 of Coxeter groups (hep-th/0610278)

## 11 - D, Binachi I supergravity solutions

Field configurations

$$
\begin{aligned}
d s^{2} & =-\mathrm{N}^{2}[t] d t^{2}+\mathrm{g}_{i j}[t] d x^{i} d x^{j} \\
F_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} & =\mathrm{F}_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta}[t]
\end{aligned}
$$

Field equations
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- dynamical equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\left(K^{a}{ }_{b} \sqrt{g}\right)}{d t} & =-\frac{N}{2} \sqrt{g} F^{a \rho \sigma \tau} F_{b \rho \sigma \tau}+\frac{N}{144} \sqrt{g} F^{\lambda \rho \sigma \tau} F_{\lambda \rho \sigma \tau} \delta_{b}^{a} \\
\frac{d\left(F^{0 a b c} N \sqrt{g}\right)}{d t} & =\frac{1}{144} \eta^{0 a b c d_{1} d_{2} d_{3} e_{1} e_{2} e_{3} e_{4}} F_{0 d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}} F_{e_{1} e_{2} e_{3} e_{4}} \\
\frac{d F_{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}}}{d t} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

- Constraint equations

Hamiltonian C. $\quad K^{a}{ }_{b} K^{b}{ }_{a}-K^{2}+\frac{1}{12} F_{\perp a b c} F_{\perp}{ }^{a b c}+\frac{1}{48} F_{a b c d} F^{a b c d}=0$
Momentum C.

$$
\frac{1}{6} N F^{0 b c d} F_{a b c d}=0
$$

Gauss law

$$
\varepsilon^{0 a b c_{1} c_{2} c_{3} c_{4} d_{1} d_{2} d_{3} d_{4}} F_{c_{1} c_{2} c_{3} c_{4}} F_{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3} d_{4}}=0
$$

where

$$
K_{a b}=(-1 / 2 N) \dot{g}_{a b} \text { and } F_{\perp a b c}=(1 / N) F_{0 a b c}
$$

## Bianchi I configurations

Diagonal field configurations
Diagonal metric implies diagonal extrinsic curvature $K_{a b}$
Evolution and constraint equations imply diagonal energy-momentum tensor: $F^{a \rho \sigma \tau} F_{b \rho \sigma \tau} \propto \delta_{b}^{a}$

- Freund-Rubin ansatz: $10=3+7$
$d s_{11}^{2}=-N^{2} d t^{2}+d s_{3}^{2}+d s_{7}^{2}$
$F^{0 a b c} \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{g} N} \varepsilon^{0 a b c} \quad(a, b, c=1,2,3)$
[ P.G.O. Freund, M.A. Rubin, Phys. Lett. 97B (1980) 233 ]
- Different splittings: $10=n+(10-n), n \geq 0$
$d s_{11}^{2}=-N^{2} d t^{2}+R^{2}[t] \sum_{a \leq n}\left(d x^{a}\right)^{2}+S^{2}[t] \sum_{\bar{a} \geq n}\left(d x^{\bar{a}}\right)^{2}$
Only $F^{0 a b c} \neq 0$

Einstein-Maxwell equations imply:

$$
F^{0 a b c}=\frac{1}{N \sqrt{g}} E^{a b c}, \quad E^{a p q} E_{b p q}=f^{2} \delta_{b}^{a}
$$

- $\mathrm{n}=1,2$

No non-trivial three-index tensor

- $\mathrm{n}=3$
$E^{a b c}=f \varepsilon^{a b c}:$ solution proportional to the Levi-Civita tensor Let $A^{a}=\varepsilon^{a b c d} E_{b c d}: A^{a} A_{b} \propto \delta_{b}^{a}$ i.e. $A^{a}=0$

Let $B^{a b}=\varepsilon_{a b c d e} E^{c d e}, B^{a c} B^{c b} \propto \delta_{b}^{a}$
i.e. $B^{2}=\mu^{2} I d$ in matrix notations,
but $B$ is antisymmetric and the dimension odd: $B=0$
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- $\mathrm{n}=1,2$

No non-trivial three-index tensor

- $\mathrm{n}=3$
$E^{a b c}=f \varepsilon^{a b c}:$ solution proportional to the Levi-Civita tensor
Let $A^{a}=\varepsilon^{a b c d} E_{b c d}: A^{a} A_{b} \propto \delta_{b}^{a}$ i.e. $A^{a}=0$
Let $B^{a b}=\varepsilon_{a b c d e} E^{c d e}, B^{a c} B^{c b} \propto \delta_{b}^{a}$
i.e. $B^{2}=\mu^{2} I d$ in matrix notations,
but $B$ is antisymmetric and the dimension odd: $B=0$

Einstein-Maxwell equations imply:

$$
F^{0 a b c}=\frac{1}{N \sqrt{g}} E^{a b c}, \quad E^{a p q} E_{b p q}=f^{2} \delta_{b}^{a}
$$

- $\mathrm{n}=1,2$

No non-trivial three-index tensor

- $\mathrm{n}=3$
$E^{a b c}=f \varepsilon^{a b c}:$ solution proportional to the Levi-Civita tensor
- $\mathrm{n}=4$

Let $A^{a}=\varepsilon^{a b c d} E_{b c d}: A^{a} A_{b} \propto \delta_{b}^{a}$ i.e. $A^{a}=0$
Let $B^{a b}=\varepsilon_{a b c d e} E^{c d e}, B^{a c} B^{c b} \propto \delta_{b}^{a}$
i.e. $B^{2}=\mu^{2} I d$ in matrix notations,
but $B$ is antisymmetric and the dimension odd: $B=0$

Einstein-Maxwell equations imply:

$$
F^{0 a b c}=\frac{1}{N \sqrt{g}} E^{a b c}, \quad E^{a p q} E_{b p q}=f^{2} \delta_{b}^{a}
$$

- $\mathrm{n}=1,2$

No non-trivial three-index tensor

- $\mathrm{n}=3$
$E^{a b c}=f \varepsilon^{a b c}:$ solution proportional to the Levi-Civita tensor
- $\mathrm{n}=4$

Let $A^{a}=\varepsilon^{a b c d} E_{b c d}: A^{a} A_{b} \propto \delta_{b}^{a}$ i.e. $A^{a}=0$

- $\mathrm{n}=5$

Let $B^{a b}=\varepsilon_{a b c d e} E^{c d e}, B^{a c} B^{c b} \propto \delta_{b}^{a}$
i.e. $B^{2}=\mu^{2} I d$ in matrix notations,
but $B$ is antisymmetric and the dimension odd: $B=0$

In dimensions greater than five Special solutions are obtained by imposing the following conditions:
(1) given a pair of indices $(a, b)$, there is at most one $c$ such that $E^{a b c} \neq 0$
(2) for each index $a$ there are exactly $m$ pairs $(b, c)$ such that $E^{a b c} \neq 0$,
(3) all non-vanishing $E^{a b c}$ are equal up to sign : $E^{a b c}= \pm h$ Condition 1 implies $E^{a p q} E_{b p q}=0$ when $a \neq b$; conditions 2 and 3 imply $E^{a p q} E_{b p q}=m h^{2} \delta_{b}^{a}$

# GEOMETRIC CONFIGURATIONS 

Incidence rules

The first two conditions can be reformulated in terms of geometric configurations $\left(n_{m}, g_{3}\right)$ i.e. set of $n$ points with $g$ distinguished subsets, called lines, such that
(0) Each line contains exactly three points and defines an $E^{a b c}$ component
(1) Two points determine at most one line (condition 1)
(2) Each point belongs to $m$ lines (condition 2)
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(0) Each line contains exactly three points and defines an $E^{a b c}$ component
(1) Two points determine at most one line (condition 1)
(2) Each point belongs to $m$ lines (condition 2)
[S. Kantor, "Die configurationen $(3,3)_{10}$ ", K. Academie der Wissenschaften, Vienna, Sitzungsbereichte der matematisch naturewissenshaftlichen classe, 84 II, 1291-1314 (1881).
D. Hilbert and S. Cohn-Vossen, "Geometry and the Imagination",(Chelsea, New York, 1952)
W. Page and H. L. Dorwart, "Numerical Patterns and Geometrical Configurations", Mathematics Magazine 57, No. 2, 82-92 (1984).]

## GEOMETRIC CONFIGURATIONS

## Some examples



Figure: $\left(6_{2}, 4_{3}\right)$ : The first configuration with intersecting lines.


Figure: $\left(7_{3}, 7_{3}\right)$ : The Fano plane; the multiplication table of the octonions.

## GEOMETRIC CONFIGURATIONS

## Two other examples



Figure: $\left(9_{3}, 9_{3}\right)_{1}$ : The so-called Figure: $\left(10_{3}, 10_{3}\right)_{3}$ : The Pappus configuration. Desargues configuration, dual to the Petersen graph.

## The "Symmetric space" $\mathcal{E}_{10} / \mathcal{K}\left(\mathcal{E}_{10}\right)$ Definitions

- The Kac-Moody algebra : $E_{10}$


Figure: The Dynkin diagram of $E_{10}$. Labels $i=1, \ldots, 9$ enumerate the nodes corresponding to simple roots, $\alpha_{i}$, of the $A_{9}$ subalgebra and the exceptional node, labeled " 10 ", is associated to the root $\alpha_{10}$ that defines the level decomposition.

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{c}
{\left[h_{i}, h_{j}\right]=0 \quad, \quad\left[h_{i}, e_{j}\right]=A_{i j} e_{j}}
\end{array}, \quad\left[h_{i}, f_{j}\right]=-A_{i j} f_{j} \quad, \quad\left[e_{i}, f_{j}\right]=\delta_{i j} h_{j}\right] \text { (ade }\right)^{\left(1-A_{i j}\right)} e_{j}=0 \quad, \quad\left(a d f_{i}\right)^{\left(1-A_{i j}\right)} f_{j}=0
$$

[V. Kac, "Infinite dimensional Lie algebras", 3rd Ed., Cambridge University

- The Kac-Moody "group" : $\mathcal{E}_{10}=\operatorname{Exp}\left[E_{10}\right]$
- The compact subalgebra : $\mathcal{K}\left(\mathcal{E}_{10}\right)$

The subalgebra fixed by the Chevalley involution:
$\tau\left(h_{i}\right)=-h_{i} \quad, \quad \tau\left(e_{i}\right)=-f_{i} \quad, \quad \tau\left(f_{i}\right)=-e_{i}$

## Hidden symmetries of M-THEORY

The dynamics of eleven-dimensional supergravity can be formulated as "geodesics" on the coset space": $\mathcal{E}_{10} / \mathcal{K}\left(\mathcal{E}_{10}\right)$
[ B. Julia, "Kac-Moody Symmetry Of Gravitation And Supergravity Theories," LPTENS 82/22
T. Damour and M. Henneaux, " $\mathrm{E}(10), \mathrm{BE}(10)$ and arithmetical chaos in superstring cosmology," Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4749 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0012172].
P. C. West, "E(11) and M theory," Class. Quant. Grav. 18, 4443 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0104081].
T. Damour, M. Henneaux and H. Nicolai, "E(10) and a 'small tension expansion' of M theory," Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 221601 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0207267]. ]

## Consistent Truncations

Truncations to a sub-model that provides solutions of the full model.
-Level truncation : set equal to zero the momenta conjugate to the $\sigma$-model variables above a given level.
[T. Damour, M. Henneaux and H. Nicolai, "Cosmological billiards," Class. Quant. Grav. 20, R145 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0212256].] - Subgroup truncation : restrict the equations of motion to a well chosen subgroup (subgroups obtained from the exponentiation of regular subalgebras)
[ F. Englert, M. Henneaux and L. Houart, "From very-extended to overextended gravity and M-theories," JHEP 0502, 070 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0412184].]
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Truncations to a sub-model that provides solutions of the full model.
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- Subgroup truncation : restrict the equations of motion to a well chosen subgroup (subgroups obtained from the exponentiation of regular subalgebras)
[ F. Englert, M. Henneaux and L. Houart, "From very-extended to overextended gravity and M-theories," JHEP 0502, 070 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0412184].]


## From geometric configurations to regular

## $E_{10}$ SUbalgebras

Regular subalgebras

- Definition

Let $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}=\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{-} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{+}$be a Kac-Moody subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, with triangular decomposition.
Assume $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ canonically embedded in $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e., that the Cartan subalgebra $\overline{\mathfrak{h}}$ of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a subalgebra of the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}: \overline{\mathfrak{h}}=\overline{\mathfrak{g}} \cap \mathfrak{h}$.
Then $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a regular subalgebra iff :
(1) the step operators of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ are step operators of $\mathfrak{g}$
(2) the simple roots of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ are real roots of $\mathfrak{g}$

It follows that the Weyl group of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a subgroup of the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$ and that the root lattice of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a sublattice of the root lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$.

## - Theorem

Let $\Phi_{\text {real }}^{+}$be the set of positive real roots of a Kac-Moody algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{n} \in \Phi_{\text {real }}^{+}$be chosen such that none of the differences $\beta_{i}-\beta_{j}$ is a root of $\mathcal{A}$. Assume furthermore that the $\beta_{i}$ 's are such that the matrix $C=\left[C_{i j}\right]=\left[2\left\langle\beta_{i} \mid \beta_{j}\right\rangle /\left\langle\beta_{i} \mid \beta_{i}\right\rangle\right]$ has non-vanishing determinant. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, choose non-zero root vectors $E_{i}$ and $F_{i}$ in the one-dimensional root spaces corresponding to the positive real roots $\beta_{i}$ and the negative real roots $-\beta_{i}$, respectively, and let $H_{i}=\left[E_{i}, F_{i}\right]$ be the corresponding element in the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$. Then, the (regular) subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by $\left\{E_{i}, F_{i}, H_{i}\right\}$, $i=1, \cdots, n$, is a Kac-Moody algebra with Cartan matrix $\left[C_{i j}\right]$.
[A. J. Feingold and H. Nicolai, "Subalgebras of Hyperbolic Kac-Moody Algebras," [arXiv:math.qa/0303179]. ]
-Comments

- We obtain subalgebras by defining simple roots within the root lattice of the larger algebra. But there are consistency conditions to be satisfied in order that the Chevalley-Serre relations can be fulfilled. For instance for the simple roots $\beta_{i}$ and $\beta_{j}, \beta_{i}-\beta_{j}$ cannot be a root otherwise the relation $\left[E_{i}, F_{j}\right]=\delta_{i j} H_{i}$ will be violated.

Kac-Moody algebra has non trivial ideals. Verifying that the Chevalley-Serre relations are fulfilled is not sufficient to guarantee that one gets the Kac-Moody algebra corresponding to the Cartan matrix $\left[C_{i j}\right]$ since there might be non trivial quotients.

## -Comments

- We obtain subalgebras by defining simple roots within the root lattice of the larger algebra. But there are consistency conditions to be satisfied in order that the Chevalley-Serre relations can be fulfilled. For instance for the simple roots $\beta_{i}$ and $\beta_{j}, \beta_{i}-\beta_{j}$ cannot be a root otherwise the relation $\left[E_{i}, F_{j}\right]=\delta_{i j} H_{i}$ will be violated.
- When the Cartan matrix is degenerate, the corresponding Kac-Moody algebra has non trivial ideals. Verifying that the Chevalley-Serre relations are fulfilled is not sufficient to guarantee that one gets the Kac-Moody algebra corresponding to the Cartan matrix $\left[C_{i j}\right]$ since there might be non trivial quotients.
- If the matrix $\left[C_{i j}\right]$ is decomposable, say $C=D \oplus E$ with $D$ and $E$ indecomposable, then the Kac-Moody algebra $\mathbb{K} \mathbb{M}(C)$ generated by $C$ is the direct sum of the Kac-Moody algebra $\mathbb{K} \mathbb{M}(D)$ generated by $D$ and the Kac-Moody algebra $\mathbb{K} \mathbb{M}(E)$ generated by $E$. The subalgebras $\mathbb{K} \mathbb{M}(D)$ and $\mathbb{K} \mathbb{M}(E)$ are ideals. If $C$ has non-vanishing determinant, then both $D$ and $E$ have non-vanishing determinant. Accordingly, $\mathbb{K} \mathbb{M}(D)$ and $\mathbb{K} \mathbb{M}(E)$ are simple and hence, either occur faithfully or trivially. Because the generators $E_{i}$ are linearly independent, both $\mathbb{K} \mathbb{M}(D)$ and $\mathbb{K} \mathbb{M}(E)$ occur faithfully.
[V. Kac, "Infinite dimensional Lie algebras", 3rd Ed., Cambridge University Press (1990).]


## The Link

- Level zero elements: $\mathfrak{g l}(10, \mathbb{R})$ with commutation relations:

$$
\left[K^{a}{ }_{b}, K_{d}^{c}{ }_{d}\right]=\delta_{b}^{c} K^{a}{ }_{d}-\delta_{d}^{a} K_{b .}^{c} . \quad(a, b=1, \ldots, 10)
$$

- Level $\pm 1$ generators: $E^{a b c}$ at level 1 and their "transposes" $F_{a b c}=-\tau\left(E^{a b c}\right)$ at level -1 ; they transform contravariantly and covariantly with respect to $\mathfrak{g l}(10, \mathbb{R})$ :

$$
\left[K^{a}{ }_{b}, E^{c d e}\right]=3 \delta_{b}^{[c} E^{d e] a},\left[K_{b}^{a}, F_{c d e}\right]=-3 \delta^{a}{ }_{[c} F_{d e] b} .
$$

- Diagonal metric : $K_{b}^{a}=0$ if $a \neq b$. i.e.no level zero root.
- Electric regular subalgebra : all the simple roots, $\alpha_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}}$, are at level one $\left(\alpha_{123} \equiv \alpha_{10}\right)$.
From $\left[E^{a b c}, F_{d e f}\right]=18 \delta_{[d e}^{[a b} K^{c]}{ }_{f]}-2 \delta_{d e f}^{a b c} \sum_{a=1}^{10} K^{a}{ }_{a}$ we obtain $\alpha_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}}-\alpha_{i_{1}^{\prime} i_{2}^{\prime} i_{3}^{\prime}} \in \Phi_{E_{10}}$ if and only if the sets $\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}$ and $\left\{i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{2}^{\prime}, i_{3}^{\prime}\right\}$ have exactly two points in common.


## The rules

- One must choose the set of simple roots of the electric regular subalgebra $S$ in such a way that given a pair of indices $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)$, there is at most one $i_{3}$ such that the root $\alpha_{i j k}$ is a simple root of $S$, with $(i, j, k)$ the re-ordering of $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right)$ such that $i<j<k$.
- 

$\square$ points and lines associated with the simple roots must fulfill the third rule defining a geometric configuration, namely, that two points determine at most one line. - The first rule, which states that each line contains 3 points, is a consequence of the fact that the $E_{10}$-generators at level one are the components of a - The second rule, that each point is on $m$ lines, is less fundamental from the algebraic point of view; it was imposed in order to allow for solutions isotropic in the directions that
$\qquad$ diagram has the same number of nodes.
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## The rules
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- The first rule, which states that each line contains 3 points, is a consequence of the fact that the $E_{10}$-generators at level one are the components of a 3-index antisymmetric tensor.
- The second rule, that each point is on $m$ lines, is less fundamental from the algebraic point of view; it was imposed in order to allow for solutions isotropic in the directions that support the electric field. It implies that each node of the Dynkin diagram has the same number of nodes.


## Incidence diagrams and Dynkin Diagrams

 Geometric Configuration $\left(3_{1}, 1_{3}\right)$

Figure: $\left(3_{1}, 1_{3}\right)$ : The only allowed configuration for $n=3$.

- Only one generator $E^{123}$; the diagonal metric components correspond to the Cartan generator $h=\left[E^{123}, F_{123}\right]$.
- $A_{1}$ regular subalgebra $\{e, f, h\}$ with $e \equiv E^{123}, f \equiv F_{123}$ and
$h=[e, f]=-\frac{1}{3} \sum_{a \neq 1,2,3} K^{a}{ }_{a}+\frac{2}{3}\left(K_{1}^{1}+K^{2}{ }_{2}+K_{3}^{3}\right)$.
- Cartan matrix : (2)
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## Incidence diagrams and Dynkin Diagrams

 Geometric Configuration ( $3_{1}, 1_{3}$ )

Figure: $\left(3_{1}, 1_{3}\right)$ : The only allowed configuration for $n=3$.

- Only one generator $E^{123}$; the diagonal metric components correspond to the Cartan generator $h=\left[E^{123}, F_{123}\right]$.
- $A_{1}$ regular subalgebra $\{e, f, h\}$ with $e \equiv E^{123}, f \equiv F_{123}$ and $h=[e, f]=-\frac{1}{3} \sum_{a \neq 1,2,3} K^{a}{ }_{a}+\frac{2}{3}\left(K_{1}^{1}+K^{2}{ }_{2}+K^{3}{ }_{3}\right)$.
- Cartan matrix : (2) not degenerate.
- The Killing form on the CSA of $A_{1}$ is positive definite, thus one cannot find a solution of the Hamiltonian constraint if one turns on only $A_{1}$.
- One needs to enlarge $A_{1}$ (at least) by a one-dimensional subalgebra $\mathbb{R} l$ of $\mathfrak{h}_{E_{10}}$ that is timelike;
- The choice $\ell=K^{4}{ }_{4}+K_{5}^{5}+K^{6}{ }_{6}+K^{7} 7+K^{8}{ }_{8}+K^{9} 9+K^{10}{ }_{10}$, $\left(\ell^{2}=-42\right)$, ensures isotropy in the directions not supporting the electric field.

The appropriate regular electric subalgebra of $E_{10}$ corresponding to the geometric configuration $\left(3_{1}, 1_{3}\right)$ is $A_{1} \oplus \mathbb{R} l$. ( An "SM2-brane" solution describing two asymptotic Kasner regimes separated by a collision against an electric wall).
$\square$
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## Conclusion

The appropriate regular electric subalgebra of $E_{10}$ corresponding to the geometric configuration $\left(3_{1}, 1_{3}\right)$ is $A_{1} \oplus \mathbb{R} l$. (An "SM2-brane" solution describing two asymptotic Kasner regimes separated by a collision against an electric wall).
[ A. Kleinschmidt and H. Nicolai, "E(10) cosmology," JHEP 0601, 137 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0511290].]

|  | Configuration | Dynkin diagram | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(3_{1}, 1_{3}\right)$ | $\stackrel{1}{0} \quad 0^{2}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} A_{1} \oplus \mathbb{R} \ell \\ \ell=\sum_{i=4}^{10} K_{i}^{i} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\left(6_{1}, 2_{3}\right)$ |  | $i \quad i$ | $\begin{gathered} A_{2} \oplus \mathbb{R} \ell \\ \ell=\sum_{i=7}^{100} K_{i}^{i} \end{gathered}$ <br> level 2: mag.fields |
| $(62,43)$ |  | - $\quad 3 \quad$ i | $\begin{gathered} A_{1} \oplus A_{1} \oplus A_{1} \oplus A_{1} \oplus \mathbb{R} \ell \\ \ell=\sum_{i=7}^{10} K_{i}^{i} \\ \text { Four SM2-branes } \end{gathered}$ |

TABLE: All configurations for $n \leq 6$ and their dual finitedimensional Lie algēras.

|  | Configuration | Dynkin diagram | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(7_{3}, 7_{3}\right)$ |  | : : : : : | $\begin{gathered} \mathfrak{g}_{\left(7_{3}, 7_{3}\right)}=A_{1} \oplus A_{1} \oplus \\ A_{1} \oplus A_{1} \oplus A_{1} \oplus A_{1} \oplus A_{1} \\ \subset A_{1} \oplus A_{1} \oplus A_{1} \oplus D_{4} \subset \\ A_{1} \oplus D_{6} \subset E_{7} \\ \ell=\sum_{i=8}^{10} K_{i}^{i} \end{gathered}$ |
| $(83,83)$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathfrak{g}_{\left(B_{3}, 8_{3}\right)}^{A_{2}+A_{2}} A_{2} \oplus A_{2} \\ \subset A_{2}^{\oplus \oplus E_{6} \subset E_{8}} \\ \ell=K_{9}^{9}+K_{10}^{10} \end{gathered}$ |

Table: All configurations for $n=7,8$ and their dual finite dimensional Lie algebras.

## Infinite AFFINE SUBALGEBRAS - $n=9$

| Configuration |  | Dynkin diagram | Lie algebra |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(9_{1}, 3_{3}\right)$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathfrak{g}_{\left(9_{1}, 3_{3}\right)}=A_{2}^{J} \\ \quad c=-K_{10}^{10} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\left(9_{2}, 6_{3}\right)_{1}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathfrak{g}_{\left(9_{2}, 6_{3}\right)_{1}}= \\ \left(A_{2} \oplus A_{2}\right)^{J} \\ c_{1}=c_{2} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\left(9_{2}, 6_{3}\right)_{2}$ |  |  | $\mathfrak{g}_{\left(9_{2}, 6_{3}\right)_{2}}=A_{5}^{J}$ |

## Infinite affine subalgebras

$n=9$ Continuation

Configuration $\quad$| Dynkin dia- |
| :--- |
| gram | Lie algebra

## InFINITE AFFINE SUBALGEBRAS - $n=9$ End

| Configuration | Dynkin dia- <br> gram | Lie algebra |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\left.\left(9_{3}, 9_{3}\right)_{3}, 12_{3}\right)$ |  |  |

TABLE: $n=9$ configurations and their dual affine Kac-Moody algebras.

## LorentZian Kac-Moody algebras

| Configuration $n=10$ |  | Dynkin diagram | Det. of A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(10_{3}, 10_{3}\right)_{1}$ |  |  | -121 |
| $\left(10_{3}, 10_{3}\right)_{2}$ |  |  | -256 |

$\left(10_{3}, 10_{3}\right)_{3}$
$\left(10_{3}, 10_{3}\right)_{6}$


TABLE: $n=10$ configurations and their dual Lorentzian Kac-Moody algebras. Note that some of the configurations give rise to equivalent Dynkin diagrams. Here, we have ceased to number the points of the geometrical configurations as this information is not needed in order te draw the Dynkin diagram. $\overline{\bar{Z}}$
(IHP-December 2006)

## Conclusions

- Each geometric configuration $\left(n_{m}, g_{3}\right)$ appears as the Dynkin diagram of an associated regular subalgebra of $E_{n}$
- Possible explicit new solutions are available
- Magnetic solutions also
- Relaxing of some rule, we still have supergravity solutions :


Figure: This set of six points, four lines containing three points each, with two lines through each point, is not a geometric configuration because it violates Rule 3: two points may determine more than one line.

- Seven rank-10 Coxeter subgroups of the Weyl group of $E_{10}$ have been obtained.Configurations with $n>10$ : it exists $31\left(11_{3}, 11_{3}\right)$ configurations from which we obtain 28 Coxeter subgroups of the Weyl group of $E_{11}$ (among the 252 rank $11-\mathcal{I}=4$ Coxeter groups). They provide several interesting mathematical questions.


## LE MOT DE LA FIN

"...there was a time when the study of configurations was considered the most important branch of all geometry."

\author{

- David Hilbert
}

