





# A precision calculation of neutrino decoupling

#### **Julien Froustey**

with Cyril Pitrou (IAP), Maria Cristina Volpe (APC)

#### LUTH seminar 05/11/2020

[1912.09378] **JF**, C. Pitrou, *Phys. Rev. D* 101, 043524 (2020) [2008.01074] **JF**, C. Pitrou, M.C. Volpe, *to appear in JCAP* 





## The MeV age



# The MeV age



## Instantaneous neutrino decoupling

• Weak interactions : low energy 4-Fermi theory





• Decoupling temperature

$$\frac{\Gamma}{H} = \frac{G_F^2 T^5}{T^2 / m_{\rm Pl}} \simeq \left(\frac{T}{1 \,\,{\rm MeV}}\right)^3$$

#### **Instantaneous neutrino decoupling - Entropy conservation**



#### **Instantaneous neutrino decoupling - Entropy conservation**



#### **Instantaneous neutrino decoupling - Entropy conservation**



Overlap between decoupling and  $e^{\pm}$  annihilations



Overlap between decoupling and  $e^{\pm}$  annihilations

 $\implies$  smaller  $T_{\gamma}$  and increased  $T_{\nu}$ 



• Overlap between decoupling and  $e^{\pm}$  annihilations

 $\implies$  smaller  $T_{\gamma}$  and increased  $T_{\nu}$ 

- Different interactions of  $u_e$  and  $u_{\mu,\tau}$ 



• Overlap between decoupling and  $e^{\pm}$  annihilations

 $\implies$  smaller  $T_{\gamma}$  and increased  $T_{\nu}$ 

- Different interactions of  $\nu_e$  and  $\nu_{\mu,\tau}$ 

 $\implies$  later decoupling for  $\nu_e$  + higher energy transfer

• Overlap between decoupling and  $e^{\pm}$  annihilations

 $\implies$  smaller  $T_{\gamma}$  and increased  $T_{\nu}$ 

- Different interactions of  $u_e$  and  $u_{\mu,\tau}$ 

 $\implies$  later decoupling for  $\nu_e$  + higher energy transfer

• More energetic neutrinos remain in thermal contact longer

 $\implies$  spectral distortions

• Overlap between decoupling and  $e^{\pm}$  annihilations

 $\implies$  smaller  $T_{\gamma}$  and increased  $T_{\nu}$ 

- Different interactions of  $\nu_e$  and  $\nu_{\mu,\tau}$ 

 $\implies$  later decoupling for  $\nu_e$  + higher energy transfer

More energetic neutrinos remain in thermal contact longer

 $\implies$  spectral distortions

We need to numerically evolve the distribution functions

$$f_{\nu_e}(p,t) \neq f_{\nu_{\mu,\tau}}(p,t) \neq f_{\text{Fermi-Dirac}}$$

• Homogeneous and isotropic cosmology

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\mathrm{d}t^2 + a^2(t) \left[\mathrm{d}r^2 + r^2 \mathrm{d}\Omega^2\right] \qquad \qquad H \equiv \frac{a}{a}$$

 $\implies$  Distribution function  $f(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, t) = f(p, t)$ 

Boltzmann equation + energy conservation equation

- Use  $T_{\rm cm} = T_{\nu}^{(0)} \propto a^{-1}$  as the integration variable.
- Parametrization  $f_{\nu_{\alpha}}(p,t) \equiv \frac{1}{e^{p/T_{\nu_{\alpha}}}+1} \left[1+\delta g_{\nu_{\alpha}}(p,t)\right]$  $\rho_{\nu_{\alpha}} \equiv \frac{7}{8} \frac{\pi^2}{30} T_{\nu_{\alpha}}^4$
- Initially  $(T_{cm}^{(in)} = 20 \text{ MeV})$ , all species are coupled

$$f_{\nu_{\alpha}}^{(\mathrm{in})}(p,t) = \frac{1}{e^{p/T_{\gamma}^{(\mathrm{in})}} + 1}$$





Effective temperatures

Effective distortions

# **Effective number of neutrinos** $N_{\rm eff}$

Increased energy density of neutrinos

$$\iff$$

# **Effective number of neutrinos** N<sub>eff</sub>

Increased energy density of neutrinos

$$\rho_{\nu}^{(0)} = 2 \times \frac{7}{8} \times \frac{\pi^2}{30} \times 3 \times \left(\frac{4}{11}\right)^{4/3} T_{\gamma}^4 \qquad \text{Instantaneous decoupling}$$
$$\rho_{\gamma} = 2 \times \frac{\pi^2}{30} \times T_{\gamma}^4 \qquad \implies \rho_{\nu}^{(0)} = \frac{7}{8} \left(\frac{4}{11}\right)^{4/3} \times 3 \times \rho_{\gamma}$$

# **Effective number of neutrinos** N<sub>eff</sub>

Increased energy density of neutrinos



# **Effective number of neutrinos** N<sub>eff</sub>

Increased energy density of neutrinos

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\nu}^{(0)} = & 2 \times \left( \frac{7}{8} \right) \times \frac{\pi^2}{30} \times \left( \frac{4}{11} \right)^{4/3} T_{\gamma}^4 & \text{Instantaneous decoupling} \\ & \text{neutrinos} + \\ & \text{antineutrinos} & \text{fermions} + \\ & \text{fermions} & e, \mu, \tau \\ & \text{fermions} & P_{\nu, \tau}^4 & \text{Instantaneous decoupling} \\ & \text{d.o.f.s} \\ & \rho_{\gamma} = & 2 \times \frac{\pi^2}{30} \times T_{\gamma}^4 & \implies \rho_{\nu}^{(0)} = \frac{7}{8} \left( \frac{4}{11} \right)^{4/3} \times 3 \times \rho_{\gamma} \\ & \rho_{\nu} = 2 \times \frac{7}{8} \times \frac{\pi^2}{30} \left( T_{\nu_e}^4 + T_{\nu_{\mu}}^4 + T_{\nu_{\tau}}^4 \right) & \text{Incomplete decoupling} \\ & \implies \rho_{\nu} = \frac{7}{8} \left( \frac{4}{11} \right)^{4/3} \times N_{\text{eff}} \times \rho_{\gamma} \end{split}$$

# **Effective number of neutrinos** $N_{\rm eff}$

Increased energy density of neutrinos

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\nu}^{(0)} = & (2) \times \begin{pmatrix} \overline{7} \\ 8 \end{pmatrix} \times \frac{\pi^2}{30} \times (3) \times \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{11} \end{pmatrix}^{4/3} T_{\gamma}^4 & \text{Instantaneous decoupling} \\ & \text{neutrinos +} \\ & \text{antineutrinos} & \text{fermions} \end{pmatrix} \\ \rho_{\nu} = & (2) \times \frac{\pi^2}{30} \times T_{\gamma}^4 & \implies \rho_{\nu}^{(0)} = \frac{7}{8} \left( \frac{4}{11} \right)^{4/3} \times 3 \times \rho_{\gamma} \\ & p_{\nu} = 2 \times \frac{7}{8} \times \frac{\pi^2}{30} \left( T_{\nu_e}^4 + T_{\nu_{\mu}}^4 + T_{\nu_{\tau}}^4 \right) & \text{Incomplete decoupling} \\ & N_{\text{eff}} \simeq 3.0434 \end{split}$$

Physical phenomena to take into account:

- Boltzmann equation with collisions  $\checkmark$
- Proper distributions (Fermi-Dirac)
- Neutrino masses and mixings

Physical phenomena to take into account:

- Proper distributions (Fermi-Dirac)
- Neutrino masses and mixings X

Previous works: [G. Mangano et al., *Nucl. Phys. B* 729, 221 (2005)] [P.F. de Salas, S. Pastor, *JCAP* 07, 051 (2016)] [K. Akita, M. Yamaguchi, *JCAP* 08, 012 (2020)]

- Neutrino evolution with mixing: Quantum Kinetic Equations
- 2. An approximation:

Adiabatic Transfer of Averaged Oscillations

3. Results for neutrino decoupling

- Neutrino evolution with mixing: Quantum Kinetic Equations
- 2. An approximation:

Adiabatic Transfer of Averaged Oscillations

3. Results for neutrino decoupling

## **Massive neutrinos (1)**

- Standard model: 3 species of massless neutrinos  $u_L$
- Homestake experiment, Solar Neutrino Problem...
   → massive neutrinos



## **Massive neutrinos (2)**

• Parametrization of the PMNS matrix (no CP violating phase)

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta_{23} & \sin \theta_{23} \\ 0 & -\sin \theta_{23} & \cos \theta_{23} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{13} & 0 & \sin \theta_{13} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \theta_{13} & 0 & \cos \theta_{13} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{12} & \sin \theta_{12} & 0 \\ -\sin \theta_{12} & \cos \theta_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Mixing angles

$$\sin^2 \theta_{12} \simeq 0.307$$
 ,  $\sin^2 \theta_{23} \simeq 0.545$  ,  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \simeq 0.0218$ 

[Particle Data Group (2020)]

# **Massive neutrinos (3)**



 $\nu_e$ 

 $m^2 \bigstar$ 



$$\Delta m_{21}^2 = m_{\nu_2}^2 - m_{\nu_1}^2 = 7.53 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$$
$$\Delta m_{32}^2 = m_{\nu_3}^2 - m_{\nu_2}^2 = \pm 2.45 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$$

# **Massive neutrinos (4)**

- Flavor mixing  $\to$  the distribution functions  $f_{\nu_{\alpha}}$  are not sufficient to describe the neutrino ensemble

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_{\nu_e} & & \\ & f_{\nu_\mu} & \\ & & f_{\nu_\tau} \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \langle \hat{a}_{\nu_e}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\nu_e} \rangle & \langle \hat{a}_{\nu_\mu}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\nu_e} \rangle & \langle \hat{a}_{\nu_\tau}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\nu_e} \rangle \\ \langle \hat{a}_{\nu_\tau}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\nu_\mu} \rangle & \langle \hat{a}_{\nu_\mu}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\nu_\mu} \rangle & \langle \hat{a}_{\nu_\tau}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\nu_\mu} \rangle \\ \langle \hat{a}_{\nu_\tau}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\nu_e} \rangle & \langle \hat{a}_{\nu_\mu}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\nu_\tau} \rangle & \langle \hat{a}_{\nu_\tau}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\nu_\tau} \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\implies$  Density matrix description

Which evolution equation?  $\rightarrow$  generalization of Boltzmann equation

• Central object: *s*-body reduced density matrix

$$\varrho_{j_1\cdots j_s}^{i_1\cdots i_s} \equiv \langle \hat{a}_{j_s}^{\dagger}\cdots \hat{a}_{j_1}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i_1}\cdots \hat{a}_{i_s} \rangle$$

In particular, one-body density matrix  $\rho_j^i \equiv \langle \hat{a}_j^{\dagger} \hat{a}_i \rangle$ 

$$\left(\varrho_{\phi_j(\vec{p}_j,h_j)}^{\phi_i(\vec{p}_i,h_i)} = \langle \hat{a}_{\phi_j}^{\dagger}(\vec{p}_j,h_j) \, \hat{a}_{\phi_i}(\vec{p}_i,h_i) \rangle \right)$$

species, momentum, helicity

• Hamiltonian (second quantization)

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_{int} = \sum_{i,j} t_j^i \hat{a}_i^{\dagger} \hat{a}_j + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j,k,l} \tilde{v}_{jl}^{ik} \hat{a}_i^{\dagger} \hat{a}_k^{\dagger} \hat{a}_l \hat{a}_j$$
Kinetic term
Two-body interactions

• BBGKY hierarchy

Ehrenfest 
$$i \frac{d\langle \hat{a}_j^{\dagger} \hat{a}_j }{dt}$$

 $\dot{a} \frac{\mathrm{d}\langle \hat{a}_j^{\dagger} \hat{a}_i \rangle}{\mathrm{d}t} = \langle [\hat{a}_j^{\dagger} \hat{a}_i, \hat{H}] \rangle$ 

$$\begin{cases} i\frac{\mathrm{d}\varrho_{j}^{i}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \left(t_{k}^{i}\varrho_{j}^{k} - \varrho_{k}^{i}t_{j}^{k}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{v}_{ml}^{ik}\varrho_{jk}^{ml} - \varrho_{ml}^{ik}\tilde{v}_{jk}^{ml}\right) \\ i\frac{\mathrm{d}\varrho_{jl}^{ik}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \left(t_{r}^{i}\varrho_{jl}^{rk} + t_{p}^{k}\varrho_{jl}^{ip} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{v}_{rp}^{ik}\varrho_{jl}^{rp} - \varrho_{rl}^{ik}t_{j}^{r} - \varrho_{jp}^{ik}t_{l}^{p} - \frac{1}{2}\varrho_{rp}^{ik}\tilde{v}_{jl}^{rp}\right) \\ + \frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{v}_{rn}^{im}\varrho_{jlm}^{rkn} + \tilde{v}_{pn}^{km}\varrho_{jlm}^{ipn} - \varrho_{rln}^{ikm}\tilde{v}_{jm}^{rn} - \varrho_{jpn}^{ikm}\tilde{v}_{lm}^{pn}\right) \end{cases}$$

1-body density matrix

2-body density matrix

3-body density matrix

Need to truncate this hierarchy  $\implies$  Hartree-Fock (mean-field),...

Correlated and uncorrelated contributions

$$\begin{split} \varrho_{jl}^{ik} &\equiv 2\varrho_{[j}^{i}\varrho_{l]}^{k} + C_{jl}^{ik} \equiv \varrho_{j}^{i}\varrho_{l}^{k} - \varrho_{l}^{i}\varrho_{j}^{k} + C_{jl}^{ik} \\ i\frac{\mathrm{d}\varrho_{j}^{i}}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \left(t_{k}^{i}\varrho_{j}^{k} - \varrho_{k}^{i}t_{j}^{k}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{v}_{ml}^{ik}\varrho_{jk}^{ml} - \varrho_{ml}^{ik}\tilde{v}_{jk}^{ml}\right) \\ \Longrightarrow i\frac{\mathrm{d}\varrho_{j}^{i}}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \left(\left[t_{k}^{i} + \Gamma_{k}^{i}\right]\varrho_{j}^{k} - \varrho_{k}^{i}\left[t_{j}^{k} + \Gamma_{j}^{k}\right]\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{v}_{ml}^{ik}C_{jk}^{ml} - C_{ml}^{ik}\tilde{v}_{jk}^{ml}\right) \end{split}$$

Correlated and uncorrelated contributions

$$\rho_{jl}^{ik} \equiv 2\rho_{[j}^{i}\rho_{l]}^{k} + C_{jl}^{ik} \equiv \rho_{j}^{i}\rho_{l}^{k} - \rho_{l}^{i}\rho_{j}^{k} + C_{jl}^{ik}$$

$$i\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_{j}^{i}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \left(t_{k}^{i}\rho_{j}^{k} - \rho_{k}^{i}t_{j}^{k}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{v}_{ml}^{ik}\rho_{jk}^{ml} - \rho_{ml}^{ik}\tilde{v}_{jk}^{ml}\right)$$

$$\implies i\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_{j}^{i}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \left(\left[t_{k}^{i} + \Gamma_{k}^{i}\right]\rho_{j}^{k} - \rho_{k}^{i}\left[t_{j}^{k} + \Gamma_{j}^{k}\right]\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{v}_{ml}^{ik}C_{jk}^{ml} - C_{ml}^{ik}\tilde{v}_{jk}^{ml}\right)$$

$$\square \sum \tilde{v}_{k}^{i} = \left(\left[t_{k}^{i} + \Gamma_{k}^{i}\right]\rho_{j}^{k} - \rho_{k}^{i}\left[t_{j}^{k} + \Gamma_{j}^{k}\right]\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{v}_{ml}^{ik}C_{jk}^{ml} - C_{ml}^{ik}\tilde{v}_{jk}^{ml}\right)$$

Mean-field potential

$$\Gamma^i_j = \sum_{k,l} \tilde{v}^{ik}_{jl} \varrho^l_k$$

Correlated and uncorrelated contributions

$$\begin{split} \varrho_{jl}^{ik} &\equiv 2\varrho_{[j}^{i}\varrho_{l]}^{k} + C_{jl}^{ik} \equiv \varrho_{j}^{i}\varrho_{l}^{k} - \varrho_{l}^{i}\varrho_{j}^{k} + \mathbf{v}_{j}^{k} \\ i\frac{\mathrm{d}\varrho_{j}^{i}}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \left(t_{k}^{i}\varrho_{j}^{k} - \varrho_{k}^{i}t_{j}^{k}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{v}_{ml}^{ik}\varrho_{jk}^{ml} - \varrho_{ml}^{ik}\tilde{v}_{jk}^{ml}\right) \\ \implies i\frac{\mathrm{d}\varrho_{j}^{i}}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \left(\left[t_{k}^{i} + \Gamma_{k}^{i}\right]\varrho_{j}^{k} - \varrho_{k}^{i}\left[t_{j}^{k} + \Gamma_{j}^{k}\right]\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{v}_{ml}^{ik}\varrho_{jk}^{ml} - \mathcal{O}_{m}^{k}\tilde{v}_{jk}^{ml}\right) \\ \\ \mathsf{Mean-field potential} \quad \Gamma_{j}^{i} &= \sum_{k,l}\tilde{v}_{jl}^{ik}\varrho_{k}^{l} \end{split}$$

• Simplest closure: Hartree-Fock (or mean-field) approximation

but need to account for correlations due to two-body collisions...
#### **Extended BBGKY formalism**

 Molecular chaos assumption = correlations are built from collisions between uncorrelated particles

#### **Extended BBGKY formalism**

 Molecular chaos assumption = correlations are built from collisions between uncorrelated particles

#### **Extended BBGKY formalism**

 Molecular chaos assumption = correlations are built from collisions between uncorrelated particles

$$i\frac{\mathrm{d}\varrho_j^i}{\mathrm{d}t} = \left[\hat{t} + \hat{\Gamma}, \hat{\varrho}\right]_j^i + i\,\hat{\mathcal{C}}_j^i$$

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{i_{1}^{i_{1}}}^{i_{1}} &= \frac{1}{4} \left( \tilde{v}_{i_{3}i_{4}}^{i_{1}i_{2}} \varrho_{j_{3}}^{i_{3}} \varrho_{j_{4}}^{i_{4}} \tilde{v}_{j_{1}j_{2}}^{j_{3}j_{4}} (\hat{1}-\varrho)_{i_{1}^{i_{1}}}^{j_{1}} (\hat{1}-\varrho)_{i_{2}}^{j_{2}} - \tilde{v}_{i_{3}i_{4}}^{i_{1}i_{2}} (\hat{1}-\varrho)_{j_{3}}^{i_{3}} (\hat{1}-\varrho)_{j_{4}}^{i_{4}} \tilde{v}_{j_{1}j_{2}}^{j_{3}j_{4}} \varrho_{i_{2}}^{j_{2}} \\ &+ (\hat{1}-\varrho)_{j_{1}}^{i_{1}} (\hat{1}-\varrho)_{j_{2}}^{i_{2}} \tilde{v}_{j_{3}j_{4}}^{j_{1}j_{2}} \varrho_{i_{3}}^{j_{3}} \varrho_{i_{4}}^{j_{4}} \tilde{v}_{i_{1}j_{2}}^{i_{3}} - \varrho_{j_{1}}^{i_{1}} \varrho_{j_{2}}^{i_{2}} \tilde{v}_{j_{3}j_{4}}^{j_{1}j_{2}} (\hat{1}-\varrho)_{i_{3}}^{j_{4}} (\hat{1}-\varrho)_{i_{3}}^{j_{4}} (\hat{1}-\varrho)_{i_{4}}^{j_{4}} \tilde{v}_{i_{1}i_{2}}^{i_{3}} \right) \end{aligned}$ 

$$\begin{split} i\frac{\mathrm{d}\varrho_{j}^{i}}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \left[\hat{t}+\hat{\Gamma},\hat{\varrho}\right]_{j}^{i}+i\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{j}^{i}\\ \mathcal{C}_{i_{1}}^{i_{1}} &= \frac{1}{4}\left(\tilde{v}_{i_{3}i_{4}}^{i_{1}i_{2}}\varrho_{j_{3}}^{i_{3}}\varrho_{j_{4}}^{i_{4}}\tilde{v}_{j_{1}j_{2}}^{j_{3}j_{4}}(\hat{1}-\varrho)_{i_{1}'}^{j_{1}}(\hat{1}-\varrho)_{i_{2}}^{j_{2}}-\tilde{v}_{i_{3}i_{4}}^{i_{1}i_{2}}(\hat{1}-\varrho)_{j_{3}}^{i_{3}}(\hat{1}-\varrho)_{j_{4}}^{i_{4}}\tilde{v}_{j_{1}j_{2}}^{j_{3}j_{4}}\varrho_{i_{1}'}^{j_{2}}\varrho_{i_{2}}^{j_{2}}\\ &+(\hat{1}-\varrho)_{j_{1}}^{i_{1}}(\hat{1}-\varrho)_{j_{2}}^{i_{2}}\tilde{v}_{j_{3}j_{4}}^{j_{1}j_{2}}\varrho_{i_{3}}^{j_{4}}\varrho_{i_{3}}^{j_{4}}\varrho_{i_{4}}^{j_{3}i_{4}}-\varrho_{j_{1}}^{i_{1}}\varrho_{j_{2}}^{i_{2}}\tilde{v}_{j_{3}j_{4}}^{j_{1}j_{2}}(\hat{1}-\varrho)_{i_{3}}^{j_{3}}(\hat{1}-\varrho)_{i_{4}}^{j_{4}}\tilde{v}_{i_{1}'i_{2}}^{i_{3}i_{4}}\right)\end{split}$$

Gain

**J**3**J**4



$$i\frac{\mathrm{d}\varrho_j^i}{\mathrm{d}t} = \left[\hat{t} + \hat{\Gamma}, \hat{\varrho}\right]_j^i + i\,\hat{\mathcal{C}}_j^i$$

• Neutrinos in the early universe (homogeneous, isotropic)

$$\langle \hat{a}_{\nu_{\beta}}^{\dagger}(\vec{p}',h')\hat{a}_{\nu_{\alpha}}(\vec{p},h)\rangle = (2\pi)^{3} 2E_{p} \,\delta^{(3)}(\vec{p}-\vec{p}')\delta_{hh'} \,\varrho_{\beta}^{\alpha}(p,t) \,\delta_{h-1} \\ \langle \hat{b}_{\nu_{\alpha}}^{\dagger}(\vec{p},h)\hat{b}_{\nu_{\beta}}(\vec{p}',h')\rangle = (2\pi)^{3} 2E_{p} \,\delta^{(3)}(\vec{p}-\vec{p}')\delta_{hh'} \,\bar{\varrho}_{\beta}^{\alpha}(p,t) \,\delta_{h+1}$$

$$i\frac{\mathrm{d}\varrho_j^i}{\mathrm{d}t} = \left[\hat{t} + \hat{\Gamma}, \hat{\varrho}\right]_j^i + i\,\hat{\mathcal{C}}_j^i$$

• Neutrinos in the early universe (homogeneous, isotropic)

 $\langle \hat{a}_{\nu_{\beta}}^{\dagger}(\vec{p}',h')\hat{a}_{\nu_{\alpha}}(\vec{p},h)\rangle = (2\pi)^{3} 2E_{p} \,\delta^{(3)}(\vec{p}-\vec{p}')\delta_{hh'} \,\varrho_{\beta}^{\alpha}(p,t)\,\delta_{h-1} \\ \langle \hat{b}_{\nu_{\alpha}}^{\dagger}(\vec{p},h)\hat{b}_{\nu_{\beta}}(\vec{p}',h')\rangle = (2\pi)^{3} 2E_{p} \,\delta^{(3)}(\vec{p}-\vec{p}')\delta_{hh'} \,\bar{\varrho}_{\beta}^{\alpha}(p,t)\,\delta_{h+1}$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varrho_e^e & \varrho_\mu^e & \varrho_\tau^e \\ \varrho_e^\mu & \varrho_\mu^\mu & \varrho_\tau^\mu \\ \varrho_e^\tau & \varrho_\mu^\tau & \varrho_\tau^\tau \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{\nu_e} & \varrho_\mu^e & \varrho_\tau^e \\ \varrho_e^\mu & f_{\nu_\mu} & \varrho_\tau^\mu \\ \varrho_e^\tau & \varrho_\mu^\tau & f_{\nu_\tau} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Example of interaction matrix element ( $\nu - e^-$  scattering)

$$\tilde{v}_{\nu_{\beta}(3)e(4)}^{\nu_{\alpha}(1)e(2)} = 2\sqrt{2}G_{F}(2\pi)^{3}\delta^{(3)}(\vec{p}_{1} + \vec{p}_{2} - \vec{p}_{3} - \vec{p}_{4})$$
$$\times \left[\bar{u}_{\nu_{\alpha}}^{h_{1}}(\vec{p}_{1})\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}u_{\nu_{\beta}}^{h_{3}}(\vec{p}_{3})\right] \left[\bar{u}_{e}^{h_{2}}(\vec{p}_{2})\gamma_{\mu}(G_{L}^{\alpha\beta}P_{L} + G_{R}^{\alpha\beta}P_{R})u_{e}^{h_{4}}(\vec{p}_{4})\right]$$

$$G^{L} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{L} + 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_{L} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_{L} \end{pmatrix} \quad G^{R} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{R} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_{R} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_{R} \end{pmatrix} \qquad g_{L} = -\frac{1}{2} + \sin^{2} \theta_{W}$$
$$g_{R} = \sin^{2} \theta_{W}$$

• Example of interaction matrix element ( $\nu - e^-$  scattering)

$$\tilde{v}_{\nu_{\beta}(3)e(4)}^{\nu_{\alpha}(1)e(2)} = 2\sqrt{2}G_{F} (2\pi)^{3} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p}_{1} + \vec{p}_{2} - \vec{p}_{3} - \vec{p}_{4})$$

$$\times \left[\bar{u}_{\nu_{\alpha}}^{h_{1}}(\vec{p}_{1})\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}u_{\nu_{\beta}}^{h_{3}}(\vec{p}_{3})\right] \left[\bar{u}_{e}^{h_{2}}(\vec{p}_{2})\gamma_{\mu}(G_{L}^{\alpha\beta}P_{L} + G_{R}^{\alpha\beta}P_{R})u_{e}^{h_{4}}(\vec{p}_{4})\right]$$

$$G^{L} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{L} + 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_{L} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_{L} \end{pmatrix} \quad G^{R} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{R} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_{R} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_{R} \end{pmatrix} \qquad g_{L} = -\frac{1}{2} + \sin^{2}\theta_{W}$$

$$g_{R} = \sin^{2}\theta_{W}$$

$$g_{R} = \sin^{2}\theta_{W}$$

$$i \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - Hp \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \end{bmatrix} \varrho(p, t) = \begin{bmatrix} U \frac{\mathbb{M}^2}{2p} U^{\dagger}, \varrho \end{bmatrix} - 2\sqrt{2}G_F p \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbb{E}_e + \mathbb{P}_e}{m_W^2}, \varrho \end{bmatrix} + i \, \mathcal{C}[\varrho, \bar{\varrho}]$$
Vacuum Mean-field Collisions
$$\sqrt{p^2 + m_{\nu_i}^2} \simeq p + \frac{m_{\nu_i}^2}{2p}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_e + \mathbb{P}_e = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_e + P_e & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Reminder:

$$\varrho = \begin{pmatrix} \varrho_e^e & \varrho_\mu^e & \varrho_\tau^e \\ \varrho_e^\mu & \varrho_\mu^\mu & \varrho_\tau^\mu \\ \varrho_e^\tau & \varrho_\mu^\tau & \varrho_\tau^\tau \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{\nu_e} & \varrho_\mu^e & \varrho_\tau^e \\ \varrho_e^\mu & f_{\nu_\mu} & \varrho_\tau^\mu \\ \varrho_e^\tau & \varrho_\mu^\tau & f_{\nu_\tau} \end{pmatrix}$$

[G. Sigl, G. Raffelt, *Nucl. Phys. B* 406, 423 (1993)]
[C. Volpe et al., *Phys. Rev. D* 87, 113010 (2013)]
[D. Blaschke, V. Cirigliano, *Phys. Rev. D* 94, 033009 (2016)]
[JF, C. Pitrou, M.C. Volpe, 2008.01074]

$$\begin{split} i \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - Hp \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \right] \varrho(p,t) &= \left[ U \frac{\mathbb{M}^2}{2p} U^{\dagger}, \varrho \right] - 2\sqrt{2} G_F p \left[ \frac{\mathbb{E}_e + \mathbb{P}_e}{m_W^2}, \varrho \right] + i \, \mathcal{C}[\varrho, \bar{\varrho}] \\ & \text{Vacuum Mean-field Collisions} \\ \mathcal{C} &= \mathcal{C}^{[\nu e^- \to \nu e^-]} + \mathcal{C}^{[\nu e^+ \to \nu e^+]} + \mathcal{C}^{[\nu \bar{\nu} \to e^- e^+]} + \mathcal{C}^{[\nu \nu]} \\ \mathcal{C}^{[\nu e^- \to \nu e^-]} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{2^5 G_F^2}{2E_1} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \vec{p}_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \vec{p}_3}{(2\pi)^3 2E_3} \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \vec{p}_4}{(2\pi)^3 2E_4} \\ &\times (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4) \\ &\times \left[ 4(p_1 \cdot p_2)(p_3 \cdot p_4) F_{\mathrm{sc}}^{LL}(\nu^{(1)} + e^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + e^{(4)}) \\ &\quad + 4(p_1 \cdot p_4)(p_2 \cdot p_3) F_{\mathrm{sc}}^{RR}(\nu^{(1)} + e^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + e^{(4)}) \\ &\quad - 2(p_1 \cdot p_3) m_e^2 \left( F_{\mathrm{sc}}^{LR}(\nu^{(1)} + e^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + e^{(4)}) + F_{\mathrm{sc}}^{RL}(\nu^{(1)} + e^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + e^{(4)}) \right) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} i \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - Hp \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \right] \varrho(p,t) &= \left[ U \frac{\mathbb{M}^2}{2p} U^{\dagger}, \varrho \right] - 2\sqrt{2} G_F p \Big[ \frac{\mathbb{E}_e + \mathbb{P}_e}{m_W^2}, \varrho \Big] + i \, \mathcal{C}[\varrho, \bar{\varrho}] \\ & \text{Vacuum Mean-field Collisions} \\ \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^{[\nu e^- \to \nu e^-]} + \mathcal{C}^{[\nu e^+ \to \nu e^+]} + \mathcal{C}^{[\nu \bar{\nu} \to e^- e^+]} + \mathcal{C}^{[\nu \nu]} \\ \mathcal{C}^{[\nu e^- \to \nu e^-]} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{2^5 G_F^2}{2E_1} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \vec{p}_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \vec{p}_3}{(2\pi)^3 2E_3} \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \vec{p}_4}{(2\pi)^3 2E_4} \\ &\times (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4) \\ &\times \Big[ 4(p_1 \cdot p_2)(p_3 \cdot p_4) F_{\mathrm{sc}}^{LL}(\nu^{(1)} + e^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + e^{(4)}) \\ &\quad + 4(p_1 \cdot p_4)(p_2 \cdot p_3) F_{\mathrm{sc}}^{RR}(\nu^{(1)} + e^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + e^{(4)}) \\ &\quad - 2(p_1 \cdot p_3) m_e^2 \left( F_{\mathrm{sc}}^{LR}(\nu^{(1)} + e^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + e^{(4)}) + F_{\mathrm{sc}}^{RL}(\nu^{(1)} + e^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + e^{(4)}) \right) \end{split}$$

#### Statistical factor

 $F_{\rm sc}^{AB}(\nu^{(1)} + e^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + e^{(4)}) = f_4(1 - f_2) \left[ G^A \varrho_3 G^B (1 - \varrho_1) \right] - (1 - f_4) f_2 \left[ G^A (1 - \varrho_3) G^B \varrho_1 \right] + \text{h.c.}$ "gain" "loss" 28

$$\begin{split} i \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - Hp \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \right] \varrho(p,t) &= \left[ U \frac{\mathbb{M}^2}{2p} U^{\dagger}, \varrho \right] - 2\sqrt{2} G_F p \left[ \frac{\mathbb{E}_e + \mathbb{P}_e}{m_W^2}, \varrho \right] + i \, \mathcal{C}[\varrho, \bar{\varrho}] \\ & \text{Vacuum Mean-field Collisions} \\ \mathcal{C} &= \mathcal{C}^{[\nu e^- \to \nu e^-]} + \mathcal{C}^{[\nu e^+ \to \nu e^+]} + \mathcal{C}^{[\nu \bar{\nu} \to e^- e^+]} + \mathcal{C}^{[\nu \nu]} \\ \mathcal{C}^{[\nu e^- \to \nu e^-]} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{2^5 G_F^2}{2E_1} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \vec{p}_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \vec{p}_3}{(2\pi)^3 2E_3} \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \vec{p}_4}{(2\pi)^3 2E_4} \\ &\times (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4) \\ &\times \left[ 4(p_1 \cdot p_2)(p_3 \cdot p_4) F_{\mathrm{sc}}^{LL}(\nu^{(1)} + e^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + e^{(4)}) \\ &\quad + 4(p_1 \cdot p_4)(p_2 \cdot p_3) F_{\mathrm{sc}}^{RR}(\nu^{(1)} + e^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + e^{(4)}) \\ &\quad - 2(p_1 \cdot p_3) m_e^2 \left( F_{\mathrm{sc}}^{LR}(\nu^{(1)} + e^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + e^{(4)}) + F_{\mathrm{sc}}^{RL}(\nu^{(1)} + e^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + e^{(4)}) \right) \\ \end{split}$$

 $\frac{\text{Statistical factor}}{F_{\text{sc}}^{AB}(\nu^{(1)} + e^{(2)} \rightarrow \nu^{(3)} + e^{(4)}) = f_4(1 - f_2) \left[ G^A \varrho_3 G^B (1 - \varrho_1) \right] - (1 - f_4) f_2 \left[ G^A (1 - \varrho_3) G^B \varrho_1 \right] + \text{h.c.}$ "gain" "loss" 28

(Anti)neutrino self-interactions

$$\mathcal{C}^{[\nu\nu]} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{2^5 G_F^2}{2E_1} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \vec{p}_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \vec{p}_3}{(2\pi)^3 2E_3} \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \vec{p}_4}{(2\pi)^3 2E_4} \\ \times (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4) \times \left[ (p_1 \cdot p_2)(p_3 \cdot p_4) F_{\mathrm{sc}}(\nu^{(1)} + \nu^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + \nu^{(4)}) \right. \\ \left. + (p_1 \cdot p_4)(p_2 \cdot p_3) \left( F_{\mathrm{sc}}(\nu^{(1)} + \bar{\nu}^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + \bar{\nu}^{(4)}) + F_{\mathrm{ann}}(\nu^{(1)} + \bar{\nu}^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + \bar{\nu}^{(4)}) \right) \right]$$

 $F_{\rm sc}(\nu^{(1)} + \nu^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + \nu^{(4)}) = [\varrho_4(1 - \varrho_2) + \operatorname{Tr}(\cdots)] \varrho_3(1 - \varrho_1) - [(1 - \varrho_4)\varrho_2 + \operatorname{Tr}(\cdots)] (1 - \varrho_3)\varrho_1 + \text{h.c.}$   $F_{\rm sc}(\nu^{(1)} + \bar{\nu}^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + \bar{\nu}^{(4)}) = [(1 - \bar{\varrho}_2)\bar{\varrho}_4 + \operatorname{Tr}(\cdots)] \varrho_3(1 - \varrho_1) - [\bar{\varrho}_2(1 - \bar{\varrho}_4) + \operatorname{Tr}(\cdots)] (1 - \varrho_3)\varrho_1 + \text{h.c.}$   $F_{\rm ann}(\nu^{(1)} + \bar{\nu}^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + \bar{\nu}^{(4)}) = [\varrho_3\bar{\varrho}_4 + \operatorname{Tr}(\cdots)] (1 - \bar{\varrho}_2)(1 - \varrho_1) - [(1 - \varrho_3)(1 - \bar{\varrho}_4) + \operatorname{Tr}(\cdots)] \bar{\varrho}_2\varrho_1 + \text{h.c.}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(Anti)neutrino self-interactions} \\ \mathcal{C}^{[\nu\nu]} = & \frac{1}{2} \frac{2^5 G_F^2}{2E_1} \int \frac{\mathbf{d}^3 \vec{p}_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_2} \frac{\mathbf{d}^3 \vec{p}_3}{(2\pi)^3 2E_3} \frac{\mathbf{d}^3 \vec{p}_4}{(2\pi)^3 2E_4} \\ & \times (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4) \times (p_1 \cdot p_2)(p_3 \cdot p_4) F_{\mathrm{sc}}(\nu^{(1)} + \nu^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + \nu^{(4)}) \\ & + (p_1 \cdot p_4)(p_2 \cdot p_3) \left( F_{\mathrm{sc}}(\nu^{(1)} + \bar{\nu}^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + \bar{\nu}^{(4)}) + F_{\mathrm{ann}}(\nu^{(1)} + \bar{\nu}^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + \bar{\nu}^{(4)}) \right) \right] \\ F_{\mathrm{sc}}(\nu^{(1)} + \nu^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + \nu^{(4)}) = [\varrho_4(1 - \varrho_2) + \mathrm{Tr}(\cdots)] \varrho_3(1 - \varrho_1) - [(1 - \varrho_4)\varrho_2 + \mathrm{Tr}(\cdots)] (1 - \varrho_3)\varrho_1 + \mathrm{h.c.} \\ F_{\mathrm{sc}}(\nu^{(1)} + \bar{\nu}^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + \bar{\nu}^{(4)}) = [(1 - \bar{\varrho}_2)\bar{\varrho}_4 + \mathrm{Tr}(\cdots)] \varrho_3(1 - \varrho_1) - [\bar{\varrho}_2(1 - \bar{\varrho}_4) + \mathrm{Tr}(\cdots)] (1 - \varrho_3)\varrho_1 + \mathrm{h.c.} \\ F_{\mathrm{ann}}(\nu^{(1)} + \bar{\nu}^{(2)} \to \nu^{(3)} + \bar{\nu}^{(4)}) = [\varrho_3\bar{\varrho}_4 + \mathrm{Tr}(\cdots)] (1 - \bar{\varrho}_2)(1 - \varrho_1) - [(1 - \varrho_3)(1 - \bar{\varrho}_4) + \mathrm{Tr}(\cdots)] \bar{\varrho}_2\varrho_1 + \mathrm{h.c.} \end{aligned}$$

#### 9 dimensions $\longrightarrow$ 5 dimensions $\longrightarrow$ 2 dimensions

- Neutrino evolution with mixing: Quantum Kinetic Equations
- 2. An approximation:

3. Results for neutrino decoupling



• For simplicity, discard (for now) the mean-field term + two-neutrino mixing

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\varrho}{\mathrm{d}t} = -i \Big[ U \frac{\mathbb{M}^2}{2p} U^{\dagger}, \varrho \Big] + \mathcal{C} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}\varrho_m}{\mathrm{d}t} = -i \Big[ \frac{\mathbb{M}^2}{2p}, \varrho_m \Big] + U^{\dagger} \mathcal{C} U$$
$$\varrho_m \equiv U^{\dagger} \varrho U$$

• For simplicity, discard (for now) the mean-field term + two-neutrino mixing

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\varrho}{\mathrm{d}t} = -i\left[U\frac{\mathbb{M}^2}{2p}U^{\dagger}, \varrho\right] + \mathcal{C} \iff \frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}\varrho_m}{\mathrm{d}t} = -i\left[\frac{\mathbb{M}^2}{2p}, \varrho_m\right] + U^{\dagger}\mathcal{C}U}{\varrho_m \equiv U^{\dagger}\varrho U}$$
$$\varrho_m \equiv \left(\begin{matrix} f_1 & a e^{i\frac{\Delta m^2}{2p}t} \\ a e^{-i\frac{\Delta m^2}{2p}t} & f_2 \end{matrix}\right)$$

• For simplicity, discard (for now) the mean-field term + two-neutrino mixing

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\varrho}{\mathrm{d}t} = -i\left[U\frac{\mathbb{M}^2}{2p}U^{\dagger}, \varrho\right] + \mathcal{C} \iff \frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}\varrho_m}{\mathrm{d}t} = -i\left[\frac{\mathbb{M}^2}{2p}, \varrho_m\right] + U^{\dagger}\mathcal{C}U}{\varrho_m \equiv U^{\dagger}\varrho U}$$
$$\varrho_m \equiv U^{\dagger}\varrho U$$
$$\varrho_m = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & a e^{i\frac{\Delta m^2}{2p}t} \\ a e^{-i\frac{\Delta m^2}{2p}t} & f_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Schematically,

$$\varrho_m = \begin{pmatrix} - & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{pmatrix}$$
Localized neutrino injection
$$(U^{\dagger} C U \sim K \times \delta(0))$$

• For simplicity, discard (for now) the mean-field term + two-neutrino mixing

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\varrho}{\mathrm{d}t} = -i\left[U\frac{\mathbb{M}^2}{2p}U^{\dagger},\varrho\right] + \mathcal{C} \iff \frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}\varrho_m}{\mathrm{d}t} = -i\left[\frac{\mathbb{M}^2}{2p},\varrho_m\right] + U^{\dagger}\mathcal{C}U}{\varrho_m \equiv U^{\dagger}\varrho U}$$
$$\varrho_m \equiv \left(\begin{array}{c} f_1 & a \, e^{i\frac{\Delta m^2}{2p}t} \\ a \, e^{-i\frac{\Delta m^2}{2p}t} & f_2 \end{array}\right)$$

Schematically,



• For simplicity, discard (for now) the mean-field term + two-neutrino mixing

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\varrho}{\mathrm{d}t} = -i\left[U\frac{\mathbb{M}^2}{2p}U^{\dagger}, \varrho\right] + \mathcal{C} \iff \frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}\varrho_m}{\mathrm{d}t} = -i\left[\frac{\mathbb{M}^2}{2p}, \varrho_m\right] + U^{\dagger}\mathcal{C}U}{\varrho_m \equiv U^{\dagger}\varrho U}$$
$$\varrho_m \equiv \left(\begin{array}{c} f_1 & a \, e^{i\frac{\Delta m^2}{2p}t} \\ a \, e^{-i\frac{\Delta m^2}{2p}t} & f_2 \end{array}\right)$$

Schematically,



- Generalization of the previous argument
  - ♦ Expansion
  - ✤ 3-neutrino mixing
  - Mean-field term

$$i\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - Hp\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\right]\varrho(p,t) = \left[U\frac{\mathbb{M}^2}{2p}U^{\dagger},\varrho\right] - 2\sqrt{2}G_Fp\left[\frac{\mathbb{E}_e + \mathbb{P}_e}{m_W^2},\varrho\right] + i\mathcal{C}[\varrho,\bar{\varrho}]$$

- Generalization of the previous argument
  - Expansion New variables  $x = (m_e/T_{cm}) \propto a$ ,  $y = p/T_{cm}$
  - ✤ 3-neutrino mixing 3 oscillation frequencies
  - ♦ Mean-field term
     Mass basis → matter basis

$$i\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - Hp\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\right]\varrho(p,t) = \left[U\frac{\mathbb{M}^2}{2p}U^{\dagger},\varrho\right] - 2\sqrt{2}G_Fp\left[\frac{\mathbb{E}_e + \mathbb{P}_e}{m_W^2},\varrho\right] + i\mathcal{C}[\varrho,\bar{\varrho}]$$

- Generalization of the previous argument
  - Expansion New variables  $x = (m_e/T_{cm}) \propto a$ ,  $y = p/T_{cm}$
  - ✤ 3-neutrino mixing 3 oscillation frequencies
  - ♦ Mean-field term
     Mass basis → matter basis

$$i\,xH\frac{\partial\varrho(x,y)}{\partial x} = \frac{x}{m_e} \Big[ U\frac{\mathbb{M}^2}{2y} U^{\dagger}, \varrho \Big] - 2\sqrt{2}G_F y \left(\frac{m_e}{x}\right)^5 \Big[ \frac{\bar{\mathbb{E}}_e + \bar{\mathbb{P}}_e}{m_W^2}, \varrho \Big] + i\,\mathcal{C}[\varrho,\bar{\varrho}]$$

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial x} = -i[\mathcal{H}, \varrho] + \mathcal{K}}$$

- Generalization of the previous argument
  - Expansion New variables  $x = (m_e/T_{cm}) \propto a$ ,  $y = p/T_{cm}$
  - ✤ 3-neutrino mixing 3 oscillation frequencies
  - ♦ Mean-field term
     Mass basis → matter basis

$$i\,xH\frac{\partial\varrho(x,y)}{\partial x} = \frac{x}{m_e} \Big[U\frac{\mathbb{M}^2}{2y}U^{\dagger},\varrho\Big] - 2\sqrt{2}G_F y\left(\frac{m_e}{x}\right)^5 \Big[\frac{\bar{\mathbb{E}}_e + \bar{\mathbb{P}}_e}{m_W^2},\varrho\Big] + i\,\mathcal{C}[\varrho,\bar{\varrho}]$$

$$\frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial x} = -i[\mathcal{H}, \varrho] + \mathcal{K} \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial \varrho_m}{\partial x} = -i[\mathcal{H}_m, \varrho_m] - [U_m^{\dagger} \frac{\partial U_m}{\partial x}, \varrho_m] + U_m^{\dagger} \mathcal{K} U_m$$

 $\varrho_m \equiv U_m^\dagger \varrho U_m$ 

- Generalization of the previous argument
  - Expansion New variables  $x = (m_e/T_{cm}) \propto a$ ,  $y = p/T_{cm}$
  - ✤ 3-neutrino mixing 3 oscillation frequencies
  - ♦ Mean-field term
     Mass basis → matter basis

$$i\,xH\frac{\partial\varrho(x,y)}{\partial x} = \frac{x}{m_e} \Big[U\frac{\mathbb{M}^2}{2y}U^{\dagger},\varrho\Big] - 2\sqrt{2}G_F y\left(\frac{m_e}{x}\right)^5 \Big[\frac{\bar{\mathbb{E}}_e + \bar{\mathbb{P}}_e}{m_W^2},\varrho\Big] + i\,\mathcal{C}[\varrho,\bar{\varrho}]$$

$$\frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial x} = -i[\mathcal{H}, \varrho] + \mathcal{K}$$

$$\frac{\partial \varrho_m}{\partial x} = -i[\mathcal{H}_m, \varrho_m] - [U_p^{\dagger}, \mathcal{L}_m, \varrho_m] + U_m^{\dagger} \mathcal{K} U_m$$
adiabatic
adiabatic
approximation
33

## **Checking the adiabatic approximation**



# Checking that oscillations are averaged



 Non-diagonal components of the density matrix in matter basis are averaged out

$$\varrho_m = \begin{pmatrix} * & \sim & \sim \\ \sim & * & \sim \\ \sim & \sim & * \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \tilde{\varrho}_m = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$$

• Effective "ATAO" equation  $\boxed{\frac{\partial \tilde{\varrho}_m}{\partial x} = U_m^\dagger \mathcal{K} U_m}$  keep only the diagonal

Instead of solving the full QKE, we can

 Go to matter basis, where the effective Hamiltonian (vacuum + mean-field) is diagonal.

This matter basis evolves *adiabatically*.

- 2. Evolve the diagonal components of  $\rho_m$  (off-diagonal components are *averaged* out).
- 3. Read the results in flavor basis.

- Neutrino evolution with mixing: Quantum Kinetic Equations
- 2. An approximation:

3. Results for neutrino decoupling

#### Neutrino decoupling without flavor oscillations



#### Neutrino decoupling with flavor oscillations



#### Neutrino decoupling with flavor oscillations



#### **Decoupling with flavor oscillations - Comments**

- Excellent accuracy of ATAO approximation (<10<sup>-6</sup>).
- Slight increase of  $N_{\rm eff}$  (3.0434  $\rightarrow$  3.0440)

flavor conversion of  $\nu_e \implies$  more phase space for  $e^{\pm}$  annihilations

- Higher precision?
  - Full QED corrections

 $\Delta N_{\rm eff} > 10^{-5}$ 

• Inhomogeneous cosmology
## Conclusion

## **Neutrino decoupling**

- Neutrinos capture part of the entropy released by  $e^{\pm}$  annihilations
- Increased effective temperatures + spectral distortions
- Exact or approximate treatment of neutrino mixing
- $N_{\rm eff} \simeq 3.044$

Consequences on BBN, CMB...

[JF, C. Pitrou, *Phys. Rev. D* 101, 043524 (2020)]