Solving wave equations with spectral methods

Jérôme Novak Laboratoire de l'Univers et de ses théories, CNRS / Observatoire de Paris Meudon, France

With help from

Silvano Bonazzola and Laurence Halpern

- 1. The problem to solve
- 2. Spectral Methods for space / Finite-Differences for time variables
- 3. Absorbing BC for outgoing waves
- 4. Numerical results
- 5. Outlook

Linear Wave Equation of the form:

 $\Box \phi(t,r,\theta,\varphi) = \sigma(t,r,\theta,\varphi)$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial r^2} - \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{1}{\tan \theta} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \varphi^2} \right) = \sigma$$

In General Relativity propagations are usually governed by *non-linear* and *non-flat* wave equations...

 \Rightarrow source term σ .

Looking for a *precise* and stable numerical tool to solve the linear wave equation on a *finite* grid.

 \Rightarrow absorbing boundary conditions (generalization of Sommerfeld asymptotic radiation condition).

Spatial derivatives are estimated using Spectral Methods, whereas time ones are computed by Finite-Difference schemes

Spectral methods in time have not given good results (except for periodic problems)

Wave equation is decomposed on the basis of $Y_m^l(\theta, \varphi)$ \Rightarrow implicit, second-order time integration is equivalent to an ODE in r:

$$\left[Id - \frac{dt^2}{2}\Delta\right]\phi^{J+1}(r) = 2\phi^J(r) - \phi^{J-1}(r) + \frac{dt^2}{2}\Delta\phi^{J-1}(r) + dt^2\sigma^J(r).$$

which is solved by inverting the matrix of the l.h.s. operator (acting on spectral Chebyshev coefficients).

This is done in each *domain*, a matching being performed so that the solution (and its derivative /r) is continuous and verifies Boundary Conditions.



There is no exact BC at a finite distance for outgoing waves

We can:

- either change the formulation of Einstein Equations (CCM, hyperboloïdal formulation, ...)
- or use some "approximate" BC (asymptotic expansion)

Defining

$$L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r},$$

and

$$B_1 = L + \frac{1}{r}, \qquad B_m = \left(L + \frac{2m-1}{r}\right)B_{m-1},$$

we impose

$$B_m \phi|_{r=R} = 0.$$

This is a *multipolar* asymptotic expansion: $B_m \phi = 0$ means all modes up to l = m - 1 are let out.

Gravitational waves are at least quadrupolar

So we have tried: $B_3\phi = 0$, which can be explicited:

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{\partial^3}{\partial t^3} + 3\frac{\partial^3}{\partial t^2 \partial r} + 9\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + 3\frac{\partial^3}{\partial t \partial r^2} + 18\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + 18\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial r} + \\ \frac{\partial^3}{\partial r^3} + 9\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + 18\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + 6\frac{1}{r^3}\right)\phi\bigg|_{r=R} &= 0. \end{split}$$

Using the fact that $\Box \phi = 0$, one gets:

$$\begin{split} \forall (\theta, \varphi), \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \right) \phi(\theta, \varphi) \Big|_{r=R} &= \xi_1(\theta, \varphi), \quad \text{with} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \xi_1}{\partial t^2} - \frac{3}{4R^2} \Delta_{\text{ang}} \xi_1 + \frac{3}{R} \frac{\partial \xi_1}{\partial t} + \frac{3\xi_1}{2R^2} &= \frac{1}{2R^2} \Delta_{\text{ang}} \left(\frac{\phi}{R} - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \Big|_{r=R} \right). \end{split}$$

This wave equation on a sphere being very easily integrated when decomposed on spherical harmonics $(\Delta_{ang}Y_l^m = -l(l+1)Y_l^m)$.







Comparison between Sommerfeld and enhanced BCs



Outlook

- implement and test higher order $(B_5 \rightarrow \xi_2,...)$,
- develop *physical* BCs: e.g. post-Minkowskian approach,
- compare with characteristic-Cauchy matching,
- try spectral decomposition in time!